arXiv:1410.5302v1 [math.DG] 20 Oct 2014

THE GAUSS IMAGE OF λ-HYPERSURFACES AND A BERNSTEIN TYPE PROBLEM

QING-MING CHENG AND GUOXIN WEI

Abstract. In this paper, our purpose is to study rigidity theorems for λ-hypersurfaces in Euclidean space under Gauss map. As a Bernstein type problem for λ-hypersurfaces, we prove that an entirely graphic λ-hypersurface in Euclidean space is a hyperplane.

1. Introduction

Let $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth n-dimensional immersed hypersurface in the $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. In [1], Cheng and Wei have introduced the notation of the weighted volume-preserving mean curvature flow, which is defined as the following: a family $X(\cdot,t)$ of smooth immersions

$$X(\cdot,t) : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

with $X(\cdot,0) = X(\cdot)$ is called *a weighted volume-preserving mean curvature flow* if

$$\frac{\partial X(t)}{\partial t} = -\alpha(t)N(t) + \mathbf{H}(t) \tag{1.1}$$

holds, where

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\int_M H(t)\langle N(t), N\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mu}{\int_M \langle N(t), N\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mu},$$

$\mathbf{H}(t) = \mathbf{H}(\cdot,t)$ and $N(t)$ denote the mean curvature vector and the unit normal vector of hypersurface $M_t = X(M^n,t)$ at point $X(\cdot,t)$, respectively and N is the unit normal vector of $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. One can prove that the flow (1.1) preserves the weighted volume $V(t)$ defined by

$$V(t) = \int_M \langle X(t), N\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} d\mu.$$

The weighted area functional $A : (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$A(t) = \int_M e^{-\frac{|X(t)|^2}{2}} d\mu_t,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C44, 53C42.

Key words and phrases: Bernstein problem, the weighted area functional, λ-hypersurfaces, the weighted volume-preserving mean curvature flow.

The first author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B): No. 24340013 and Challenging Exploratory Research No. 25610016. The second author was supported by NSFC No. 11371150.

where $d\mu_t$ is the area element of M in the metric induced by $X(t)$. Let $X(t) : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $X(0) = X$ be a variation of X. If $V(t)$ is constant for any t, we call $X(t) : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *a weighted volume-preserving variation of* X. Cheng and Wei [1] have proved that $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a critical point of the weighted area functional $A(t)$ for all weighted volume-preserving variations if and only if there exists constant λ such that

$$\langle X, N\rangle + H = \lambda. \tag{1.2}$$

An immersed hypersurface $X(t) : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called *a* λ*-hypersurface* if the equation (1.2) is satisfied.

Remark 1.1. *When* $\lambda = 0$*, the* λ*-hypersurface becomes a self-shrinker of the mean curvature flow.*

Example 1.1. *The* n*-dimensional Euclidean space* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *is a complete and non-compact* λ*-hypersurface in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *with* $\lambda = 0$*.*

Example 1.2. *The* n*-dimensional sphere* $S^n(r)$ *with radius* $r > 0$ *is a compact* λ*-hypersurface in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *with* $\lambda = \frac{n}{r} - r$*.*

Example 1.3. *For* $1 \leq k \leq n-1$*, the* n*-dimensional cylinder* $S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ *with radius* $r > 0$ *is a complete and non-compact* λ*-hypersurface in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *with* $\lambda = \frac{k}{r} - r$*.*

It is well know that the Gauss map of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ plays a very important role in study of hypersurfaces. For constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$, a beautiful result of Hoffeman, Osserman and Schoen [5] shows that the plane and the right circular cylinder are the only complete surfaces with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$, of which the image under Gauss map lies a closed hemisphere.
Our purpose in this paper is to study λ-hypersurfaces by Gauss map. We want to attack the following problem:
Problem. Let $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional complete λ-hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If the image under the Gauss map is contained in an open hemisphere, then is $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a hyperplane? If the image under the Gauss map is contained in a closed hemisphere, then is $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a hyperplane or a cylinder whose cross section is an $(n-1)$-dimensional λ-hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$?
For the above problem, we solve it under the assumption of proper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *be an* n*-dimensional complete properly* λ*-hypersurface in the Euclidean space* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$*. If the image under the Gauss map is contained in an open hemisphere, then* $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *is a hyperplane. If the image under the Gauss map is contained in a closed hemisphere, then* $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *is a hyperplane or a cylinder whose cross section is an* $(n-1)$*-dimensional* λ*-hypersurface in* $\mathbb{R}^n$*.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *be an* n*-dimensional complete properly* λ*-hypersurface in the Euclidean space* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$*. If the image under the Gauss map is contained in* $S^n \setminus \overline{S}^{n-1}_+$*, then* $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *is a hyperplane.*

Remark 1.2. *The set* $(S^n \setminus \overline{S}^{n-1}_+) \cup \{p\}$ *contains a great circle, here* $p \in \overline{S}^{n-1}_+$*, and the nontrivial* λ*-hypersurface* $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *whose Gauss image is a great circle. Hence the Gauss image restriction in the theorem 1.3 is optimal.*

Remark 1.3. *Since a λ-hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a self-shrinker when $\lambda = 0$, we should remark that Ding, Xin and Yang* [3] *have proved the same results for complete proper self-shrinkers in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.*

Furthermore, since the image of an entire graphic λ-hypersurface under Gauss map is contained in an open hemisphere, we prove that the assertion in the problem is true for entire graphic λ-hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional entire graphic λ-hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Remark 1.4. *In the case of $\lambda = 0$, that is, in the case of self-shrinkers, Ecker and Huisken* [4] *proved that $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a hyperplane if it is an entire graphic self-shrinker with polynomial area growth in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Recently, Wang* [6] *removed the assumption of polynomial area growth (cf. Ding and Wang* [2]*).*

Acknowledgement. Authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor H. Li for invaluable discussions.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Letting $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional entire graphic hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then we can write

$$X = (x_1, \cdots, x_n, f) = (x, f), \tag{2.1}$$

where $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n), f = f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. Denote the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by $\{E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n+1}\}$. We know that tangent vectors of $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are given by

$$e_i = E_i + f_i E_{n+1},$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, where $f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$. The induced metric on M is given by

$$g_{ij} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} + f_i f_j, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the canonical inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The unit normal vector N is given by

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}}\big(-\sum_i f_i E_i + E_{n+1}\big), \tag{2.3}$$

where $Df = (f_1, \cdots, f_n)$ and $|Df|^2 = f_1^2 + \cdots + f_n^2$. The mean curvature H of M is given by

$$H = \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \langle f_{ij} E_{n+1}, N \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \frac{g^{ij} f_{ij}}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $f_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$. On the other hand,

$$\langle X, N \rangle = \frac{f - \sum_i x_i f_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}}. \tag{2.5}$$

From (1.2), (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$\sum_{i,j}\frac{g^{ij}f_{ij}}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}+\frac{f-\sum_i x_i f_i}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}=\lambda, \tag{2.6}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i,j}g^{ij}f_{ij}=-f+\sum_i x_i f_i+\lambda\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

We shall consider a differential operator $L_{(\lambda,f)}$ defined by

$$L_{(\lambda,f)}\psi=\sum_{i,j}a^{ij}\psi_{ij}-\langle x,D\psi\rangle-\lambda\frac{\langle Df,D\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}, \tag{2.8}$$

where λ is constant, f and ψ are functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, (a^{ij}) is the inverse of a positive definite matrix (a_{ij}), $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi_i=\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_i}$, $D\psi=(\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_n)$, $\psi_{ij}=\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}$. The following proposition is very important in order to prove the theorem 1.3.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume the minimum eigenvalue $\mu(x)$ of the matrix (a_{ij}) at $x\in M$ satisfies*

$$\liminf_{|x|\to+\infty}\mu(x)(|x|^2-|\lambda||x|)>n. \tag{2.9}$$

For a C^2-function ψ, if there is a positive constant ε such that

$$L_{(\lambda,f)}\psi\geq\varepsilon\sum_{i,j}a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j, \tag{2.10}$$

then ψ is constant.

Proof. From the condition (2.9), there is a real number R_1 such that if $x\in(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash B_{R_1})$, then

$$\sum_i a^{ii}<|x|^2-|\lambda||x|, \tag{2.11}$$

where B_{R_1} is an open ball of radius R_1 centered at origin. We consider a function $h(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$h(x)=\begin{cases}1, & |x|\leq R_0\\ -t(|x|^2-R_0^2)+1, & |x|\geq R_0,\end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

where $R_0\geq R_1$ and t, $0<t<1$, are constant. We know that the function $he^{C\psi}$ attains its maximum at a point $p\in\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:h>0\}$, where $C<\varepsilon$ is a positive constant. If ψ is not constant in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then there is a ball B_{R_0} with $R_0\geq R_1$ such that ψ is not constant in B_{R_0}. Suppose the function ψ attains its maximum at a point $q\in B_{R_0}$. We obtain from the strong maximum principle that ψ is constant since $L_{(\lambda,f)}$ is a linear elliptic operator and $L_{(\lambda,f)}\psi\geq 0$. It is a contradiction. Hence, ψ attains its maximum only on the boundary ∂B_{R_0}. By the same assertion, in $B_{\sqrt{R_0^2+1}}$, ψ attains its maximum only on the boundary $\partial B_{\sqrt{R_0^2+1}}$. We can assume

$\max_{\overline{B}_{R_0}} \psi = \psi(p_1)$ and $\max_{\overline{B}_{\sqrt{R_0^2+1}}} \psi = \psi(p_2)$ where $p_1 \in \partial B_{R_0}$ and $p_2 \in \partial B_{\sqrt{R_0^2+1}}$. Then we have $\psi(p_1) < \psi(p_2)$. Therefore, as long as we choose t sufficiently small, we obtain

$$(he^{C\psi})(p_1) = (e^{C\psi})(p_1) < ((1-t)e^{C\psi})(p_2) = (he^{C\psi})(p_2). \tag{2.13}$$

This means that the maximum of $he^{C\psi}$ can only be attained in the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > R_0 \geq R_1\}$.
If $p \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > R_0\}$, then, at point p, we have

$$h_i + Ch\psi_i = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$0 \geq \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(he^{C\psi})_{ij} \tag{2.15}$$

since (a^{ij}) is positive definite. By a direct calculation, we have, from the definition of h and (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-C\psi}\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(he^{C\psi})_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}h_{ij} + 2C\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}h_i\psi_j + Ch\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_{ij} + C^2h\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j \\
&\geq -2t\sum_i a^{ii} + 2C\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(-Ch\psi_i)\psi_j + C^2h\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j \\
&\quad + Ch\bigg(\langle x, D\psi\rangle + \varepsilon\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j + \lambda\frac{\langle Df, D\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}\bigg) \\
&= -\langle x, Dh\rangle - 2t\sum_1 a^{ii} + C(\varepsilon - C)h\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j + Ch\lambda\frac{\langle Df, D\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \\
&= 2t(|x|^2 - \sum_i a^{ii}) + C(\varepsilon - C)h\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j - \lambda\sum_i \frac{f_ih_i}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \\
&= 2t\bigg(|x|^2 - \sum_i a^{ii} + \lambda\sum_i \frac{f_ix_i}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}\bigg) + C(\varepsilon - C)h\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j \\
&\geq 2t\bigg(|x|^2 - \sum_i a^{ii} - |\lambda||x|\bigg) + C(\varepsilon - C)h\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Since $p \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > R_0\}$, $R_0 \geq R_1$ and $C < \varepsilon$, then we obtain from (2.15) and (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq e^{-C\psi}\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(he^{C\psi})_{ij} \\
&\geq 2t\bigg(|x|^2 - \sum_i a^{ii} - |\lambda||x|\bigg) + C(\varepsilon - C)h\sum_{i,j} a^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $he^{C\psi}$ does not attain its maximum in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > R_0 \geq R_1\}$. Thus, ψ must be constant. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $(g_{ij}) = (\delta_{ij} + f_i f_j)$ is the induced metric, we know that (g_{ij}) a positive definite matrix. Taking $(a_{ij}) = (g_{ij}) = (\delta_{ij} + f_i f_j)$ in the proposition 2.1 we know (g_{ij}) satisfies the condition (2.9). Putting $\psi = \log\det(g_{ij})$, we have

$$\psi_i = \sum_{p,q} g^{pq}\frac{\partial g_{pq}}{\partial x_i}, \quad \psi_{ij} = \sum_{p,q}(\frac{\partial g^{pq}}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial g_{pq}}{\partial x_i} + g^{pq}\frac{\partial^2 g_{pq}}{\partial x_i x_j}). \tag{2.18}$$

By a direct calculation, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial g^{ip}}{\partial x_l} = -\sum_{j,k} g^{ij}g^{pk}\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_l}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\psi_{ij} =& -2\sum_{i,j,p,k,q,l} g^{ij}g^{pk}g^{ql}f_{pi}f_q f_{kj}f_l - 2\sum_{i,j,p,k,q,l} g^{ij}g^{pk}g^{ql}f_{qi}f_p f_{kj}f_l\\ &+2\sum_{i,j,p,q} g^{ij}g^{pq}f_{pi}f_{qj} + 2\sum_{i,j,p,q} g^{ij}g^{pq}f_{pij}f_q.\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

From (2.7), we get

$$\frac{\partial(\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}f_{ij})}{\partial x_p} = \langle x, Df_p\rangle + \lambda\sum_i \frac{f_i f_{ip}}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}. \tag{2.21}$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}f_{ijp} = \langle x, Df_p\rangle + \lambda\sum_i \frac{f_i f_{ip}}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} + 2\sum_{i,j,k,l} g^{ik}g^{jl}f_{kp}f_l f_{ij}. \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.20) and (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\psi_{ij} =& -2\sum_{i,j,p,k,q,l} g^{ij}g^{pk}g^{ql}f_{pi}f_q f_{kj}f_l + 2\sum_{i,j,p,k,q,l} g^{ij}g^{pk}g^{ql}f_{qi}f_p f_{kj}f_l\\ &+2\sum_{i,j,p,q} g^{ij}g^{pq}f_{pi}f_{qj} + 2\sum_{p,q} g^{pq}f_q\langle x, Df_p\rangle\\ &+2\lambda\sum_{i,p,q} g^{pq}f_q\frac{f_i f_{ip}}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

On the other hand, because of

$$-\lambda\frac{\langle Df, D\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} = -2\lambda\sum_{i,p,q}\frac{g^{pq}f_i f_q f_{pi}}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}, \tag{2.24}$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{(\lambda,f)}\psi &= \sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\psi_{ij} - \langle x, D\psi\rangle - \lambda\frac{\langle Df, D\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \\
&= -2\sum_{i,j,p,k,q,l} g^{ij}g^{pk}g^{ql}f_{pi}f_q f_{kj}f_l + 2\sum_{i,j,p,k,q,l} g^{ij}g^{pk}g^{ql}f_{qi}f_p f_{kj}f_l \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{i,j,p,q} g^{ij}g^{pq}f_{pi}f_{qj}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}
$$

At any fixed point, we can choose a coordinate system $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$ such that

$$
Df = (f_1, 0, \cdots, 0). \tag{2.26}
$$

Then we have from (2.25) and (2.26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{(\lambda,f)}\psi &= -2\sum_{i,p,q}\frac{f_q^2(f_{pi})^2}{(1+f_i^2)(1+f_p^2)(1+f_q^2)} + 2\sum_{i,p}\frac{(f_{pi})^2}{(1+f_i^2)(1+f_p^2)} \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{i,p,q}\frac{f_p f_q f_{pi} f_{qi}}{(1+f_i^2)(1+f_p^2)(1+f_q^2)} \\
&= 2\sum_i \frac{1}{(1+f_i^2)}\left(\frac{f_1 f_{1i}}{1+f_1^2}\right)^2 + 2\sum_{i,p}\frac{(f_{pi})^2}{(1+f_i^2)(1+f_p^2)(1+f_1^2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j + 2\sum_{i,p}\frac{(f_{pi})^2}{(1+f_i^2)(1+f_p^2)(1+f_1^2)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, at any point, we have

$$
L_{(\lambda,f)}\psi \quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j. \tag{2.27}
$$

From the proposition 2.1, we have ψ is constant. Therefore, $f_{pi} = 0$ for any p and i from (2.27). Hence f is a linear function, that is, $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a hyperplane.

□

3. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

In order to prove the theorem 1.1 and the theorem 1.2, we need the following lemma due to Cheng and Wei [1].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete and non-compact properly immersed λ-hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then, there is a positive constant C such that for $r \geq 1$,*

$$
\text{Area}(B_r(0)\cap X(M)) = \int_{B_r(0)\cap X(M)} d\mu \leq C r^{n+\frac{\lambda^2}{2}-2\beta-\frac{\inf H^2}{2}}, \tag{3.1}
$$

where $B_r(0)$ is a round ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with radius r and centered at the origin, $\beta = \frac{1}{4}\inf(\lambda - H)^2$.

The next lemma is essentially due to Ding, Xin and Yang [3].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete immersed λ-hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then, its Gauss map is a $e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}}$-weighted harmonic map.*

By using the above two lemmas and the same assertion as that of [3], we can give the proofs of the theorem 1.1 and the theorem 1.2.

References

[1] Q. -M. Cheng and G. Wei, *Complete λ-hypersurfaces of the weighted volume-preserving mean curvature flow*, arXiv:1403.3177.
[2] Q. Ding and Z. Z. Wang, *On the self-shrinking systems in arbitrary codimensional space*, arXiv:1012.0429.
[3] Q. Ding, Y. L. Xin and L. Yang, *The rigidity theorems of self-shrinkers via Gauss map*, arXiv:1203.1096.
[4] K. Ecker and G. Huisken, *Mean Curvature Evolution of Entire Graphs*, Ann. of Math., **130** (1989), 453-471.
[5] D. Hoffman, R. Osserman and R. Schoen, *On Gauss map of complete surfaces of constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^4$* , Comment., Math. Helv. **57** (1982), 519-531.
[6] L. Wang, *A Benstein type theorem for self-similar shrinkers*, Geom. Dedicata, **151** (2011), 297-303.

Qing-Ming Cheng, Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Fukuoka University, 814-0180, Fukuoka, Japan, cheng@fukuoka-u.ac.jp

Guoxin Wei, School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, 510631, Guangzhou, China, weiguoxin@tsinghua.org.cn